



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,559	12/11/2003	Ted. F. Slupesky	BEA9-2003-0021-US1	8775
49056 7590 04/23/2009 LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC 802 STILL CREEK LANE GAITHERSBURG, MD 20878				
EXAMINER				
LY, CHEYNE D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2168				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/23/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/733,559

**Applicant(s)**

SLUPESKY ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHEYNE D. LY

**Art Unit**

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHEYNE D. LY.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rochelle Lieberman for Applicant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendment. Examiner has indicated that the amendment would overcome the 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection of record. As for the amendment overcoming the prior art of record, Examiner has indicated that the proposed claims do not necessarily exclude the use of data structures for managing hardware device. Due to the open language of the claims, the disclosure of the prior art reasonably describe an embodiment of the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cheyne D Ly/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2168